River, where a stage of about 25.5 feet was reached on November 1, and at Arthur City, Tex., on the Red River, where a stage of 28.6 feet was reached on October 7.

West Gulf of Mexico drainage.—The city of Roswell, N. Mex., was still inundated on October 1, from the rise in the Rio Hondo which began there on September 28. The water receded early in October, the crest of this flood being higher than the rise which occurred the week previous, but no stage data are available at this writing.

The Pecos River rose to above flood stage in the irrigation district south of Red Bluff Dam on September 25 and remained above until October 16, a period of 22 days, as recorded on the gage at Pecos, Tex. The crest was reached on September 30, but the river receded very slowly after that date. Very little precipitation occurred during this period after September 29, except for moderate rains on October 3. The heavy flow in the river was maintained by the steady spilling of water over Red Bluff Dam, caused by overtaxed reservoirs in southeastern New Mexico.

The reservoirs were still full when moderate to heavy rains fell in southeastern New Mexico on October 22, 23, and 24, and conditions similar to those mentioned above developed. This time the Pecos River reached flood stage at Pecos, Tex., and remained above that stage until after the end of the month.

During the time of the highest stage of the first flood, it was estimated that 12,000 acres of farm land were under water in Reeves, Ward, and Loving Counties. The principal losses occurred during the first flood; the second one was characterized mostly by continued inconvenience.

No flooding occurred in the Rio Grande except in the lower portion. There the river exceeded flood stage slightly at Mercedes and Brownsville, Tex., from October 20 to 29.

Gulf of California drainage.—The following report is submitted by Official in Charge, Phoenix, Arix., relative to a flood in the upper Gila River above Coolidge Dam on September 29-30:

FLOOD IN UPPER GILA RIVER, SEPTEMBER 1941

At 3 p. m., September 28, Kelvin, Ariz., reported a rainfall of 1.49 inches and a river stage of 6.6 feet, and river apparently still rising, indicating that a considerable amount of rain had fallen on parts of the upper Gila River Basin. At 5 p. m., the observer at Safford, Ariz., reported a small cloudburst (about one inch in an hour during the midafternoon) and that the river was running at the rate of approximately 10,000 second-feet at that time. Later a rainfall of 1.04 inches was telegraphed from Benson, Ariz. None of these reports indicated exceedingly heavy rains over the Gila watershed but did show that there had been a rather unusual development over southern Arizona.

During the afternoon of September 27, a peculiar cloud development began to take place and was of such a nature as to indicate the possibility of an inland movement over southern Arizona of some tropical disturbance, possibly from the Gulf of Lower California. Air of a very unstable nature began to move in rather rapidly; the instability being determined by frequent light rain of a type that is not ordinarily observed in this area. In the front of this development, for instance, it was noted that a fine rain appeared to be falling out of a practically clear sky at one time.

It was not until the morning of September 29, however, that there was any indication of the real intensity of the storm over the upper Gila Basin, and particularly in western New Mexico. Rodeo reported 3.21 inches for the 24-hour period ending at 5:30 a. m. and

It was not until the morning of September 29, however, that there was any indication of the real intensity of the storm over the upper Gila Basin, and particularly in western New Mexico. Rodeo reported 3.21 inches for the 24-hour period ending at 5:30 a. m. and Mogollon, N. Mex., reported 2.86 inches. While there are times when these two reports might not reasonably indicate a general rain, the fact that the development was observed over southern Arizona prior to the rains gave some justification for assuming the general nature of this storm on the Gila Basin.

It is still not known exactly at what time the peak of the disastrous flood reached Duncan, but it seems to have been about 5 p. m. The town of Duncan was evacuated by about 3 p. m., according to all reports, and from reports no loss of life occurred.

The peak of this flow on the Gila reached Safford early in the morning of September 30, with a flow of something near 40,000

second-feet. This caused deep water over a considerable acreage of farmland and a small inhabited area, but the inhabitants were moved prior to the rise.

The losses, principally crops and real property, were as follows:

Total_______\$284, 500
Graham County (Safford area): Loss of farm
land and crops_______\$200, 000

Total 200, 000

Damage to highways, railroads, mines mostly in Greenlee County; total 15, 500

Grand total of all losses 500, 000

TABLE 1.—Flood stages in Kansas and Neosho River Basins, April to October, inclusive, 1941

		Highest stage reached in month of—						Previous highest of record		
River and Station		April	June	July	August	September	October	Stage	Year	
Solomon River: Beloit, Kans Saline River: Tescott, Kans Smoky Hill River:	18 25		35.9 29. g			28. 9 27. 4	26. 3 25. 7	34. 5	1935	
Elisworth, Kans Lindsborg, Kans Salina, Kans Enterprise, Kans Republican River:	20 21 20 26		24. 5 20. 9 27. 4		21. 1	21. 35 28. 3 22. 7 26. 85	32. 4 24. 25 30. 1	27. 2 32. 5 24. 6 29. 1	1938 1938 1903 1935	
Concordia, Kans	15 14		11.8 20.9 25.0	8.0		8. 2 15. 3 18. 5	15. 5	17. 0 27. 75	1935 1935	
Beatrice, Nebr	16 20 22		22. 15 39. 5 30. 8			26.3 28.6 24.1	28. 0	26. 0 34. 0 31. 7	1911 1908 1903	
Ogden, Kans Warnego, Kans Topeka, Kans Le Compton, Kans Cottonwood River: Emporia,	18 17 21 16		20.7 21.9 25.8 21.0				20. 7 18. 4 24. 6 21. 6	28. 5 23. 8 28. 0 29. 5	1903 1935 1908 1903	
Kans Neosho River:	20 22		24. 55 25. 8		21. 2 24. 7		25. 0 28. 1	27. 0 28. 0	1928 1932	
Neosho Rapids, Kans LeRoy, Kans Chanute, Kans Oswego, Kans Fort Gibson, Okla	23 20 20 17 22	22. 3	28. 5 26. 2 21. 9		24. 8 24. 8	23. 9 26. 0	26. 5 27. 2 25. 0 35. 5	29. 6 28. 3 25. 4 35. 0	1926 1928 1927 1908	

FLOOD-STAGE REPORT, OCTOBER 1941

[All dates in October unless otherwise specified]

River and station	Flood	Above stages—		Crest			
201701 02.0 50201022	stage	From-	То—	Stage	Date		
Mississippi system							
Upper Mississippi Basin				77.4			
Wisconsin: Knowlton, Wis	Feet 12	8 28	28	Feet 12. 8 12. 1	8 28		
Rock: Moline, Ill	10	8 23	13 29	10.3 10.6	11 25-26		
Des Moines: Eddyville, Iowa	15	9	10	15.8	9		
Morris, Ill	13 17	7 7	8 11	13. 7 18. 5	8 8 15 23		
Havana, Ill	14	10 23	21 23	15. 5 14. 0	15 23		
Beardstown, Ill	14	11	25	15. 7	16		
Quincy, Ill	14	11	11 13	14.0 14.1	11 11		
Hannibal, Mo	13	14 14 25	15 15 28 5	13. 1 12. 2 12. 9	15 26 4		
Louisiana, Mo	12	(1)	(1)	12.5 12.3 12.0	12 22 28 7		
Grafton, Ill	18	l` 6	8	18.3	7		
See footnotes at end of table.							

FLOOD-STAGE REPORT, OCTOBER 1941-Continued

FLOOD-STAGE REPORT, OCTOBER 1941—Continued

	Flood	Above flood stages—dates		Crest		River and station	Flood stage	Above flood stages—dates		Crest	
	stage	From—	То-	Stage	Date		stage	From-	То—	Stage	Date
MISSISSIPPI SYSTEM—continued						MISSISSIPPI SYSTEM—continued				İ	
Missouri Basin		i		ŀ		Arkansas Basin—Continued				i	
olomon:	Feet		., [Feet	10	Neosho:	Feet	(14	16	Feet 26.3	,
Beloit, Kans	18	8 20 11 23 22	11 21 15	26.3 20.9	10 20	Neosho Rapids, Kans	22	$\left\{\begin{array}{c} 14\\20\end{array}\right]$	25	28. 1	1 2
Niles, Kans	24	11	15	27. 9	14 23	LeRoy, Kans	23	{ 15 20	18	24. 7 26. 5	2 1 2 1 2 1
aline: Tescott, Kans	25	22	23 22	24. 4 25. 7	22	Iola, Kans	15	f 16	27 29	16.3	Ī
moky Hill: Lindsborg, Kans	21	19	23	32, 4	20			} 20 4	28 5	20.0 21.6	2
Salina, Kans	20	20	25	24. 25	20	Chanute, Kans	20	} 17	19	20.9	
Enterprise, Kansepublican:	26	20	27	30.1	21		ł	21	29 7	27. 2 25. 9	
Clay Center, Kans	15	8	10	17.0	9	Parsons, Kans	22	17	18	22.6 27.4	1
Wakefield, Kans	11 10	9	10 10	12.5 11.2	9	Oswego, Kans	17	24	(²) 9	23.65	3
Junction City, Kans ittle Blue: Hanover, Kans	14	9	9	15. 5	9	Pensacola, Okla	24	17	(2)	27.0	
ig Blue: Baroston, Nebr	18	7	8	20.5	7	•	i	5	11	27.5	
Barnston, NebrBlue Rapids, KansRandolph, Kans	20 22	9	9	21.9 28.0	9	Fort Gibson, Okla	22	26 30	(2)	23.5	2
ansas:	22	-				North Canadian:	l	1			
Ogden, Kans		f 21	24	20.7 21.2	22 10 23 10	Woodward, Okla	5 9	22 23	25 28	7.7 12.6	2
Manhattan, Kans	17	\\ 2\frac{10}{21}	11 25 11	21.8	23	Yukon, Okla	8	(3)	(2)	f 10.6	
Wamego, Kans	17		11 24	18. 4 16. 9	10 23	Oklahoma City, Okla	12	29	30	17.0 14.7	3
Topeka, Kans		} 10	11	24.6	23 10	Oklahoma City, Okla East Oklahoma City, Okla	14	28	(2)	17. 2	3
	i	23 10 20 10 21 10	11 22 11	24. 5 21. 6	21 11 21	Canadian:					
Lecompton, Kans	17	21	22 11	20.8	21		5	{ 1 22	2 22	5. 9 6. 0	
Lawrence, Kans	18	10 21	21	21.3 20.2	11 21	Canadian, Tex	ŀ	24	24	6.0	2 2
Bonner Springs, Kans	21	} 21 11	11	21.6	11	Union, Okla	6	2 23	2 25	6. 6 8. 0	2
rand:		1 22	22	20.8	22	Arkansas:	ļ	-	1		
Chillicothe, Mo Brunswick, Mo	18 12	9 10	11 14	23.3 14.5	10 12	Arkansas City, Kans	15	1 24	27 11	16. 35 26. 4	7-
Brunswick, Mosage:	12	1	1	!		Webbers Falls, Okla	23	16	10	26. 2	`1
Quenemo, Kans	30	15	16 22 17	34. 5 35. 4	15			25	(2)	26.6	
Ottawa, Kans	i .	} 20 15	17	27.5	22 16	Fort Smith, Ark	22	17	£ 21	27. 0	1
LaCygne, Kans	ì	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	23 26	30. 5 28. 4	22 25		ļ	25	(1)	26.0	
•	1	[5	8	24.8	6	Van Buren, Ark	22	17 25	21	26.4	1
Trading Post, Kans	24	10	10 29	24.0 26.2	10 27			17 8	(2)	22. 9	1
Osceola, Mo	20	1 4	16	29. 2	8	Dardanelle, Ark	22	18 28 19	(1)	23.4	2
,	ļ	29	(2)	62.3	56	Morrilton, Ark	20	19	(1)21	20.6	2
Lakeside, Mo	60	27 29	(3)	60.0	27	Red Basin	20	1 29	(3)		
St. Thomas, Mo	23	1 4	14	34. 5	7						
Issouri:	"	30	(3)			Sulphur: Ringo Crossing, Tex.4	20	\\ 31	(2) 5	21.0	
Waverly, Mo	18	12	12	18. 2	12	Red:		[20.0	
Hermann, Mo	21	{ 5	(3)	25. 8	6	Arthur City, TexIndex, Ark	27 25	6 9	7 9	28.6 25.0	
		5	17	30.4	7			_			
St. Charles, Mo	25	24 31	(3)	25. 6	26	WEST GULF OF MEXICO DRAINAGE		Ì	1	ļ	
White Basin			''			Pecos: Pecos, Tex	13	Sept. 25	(2)	14.9+ 14.1	3
hite: Calico Rock, Ark	. 18	17	19	21.7	18	Rio Grande:		25	0		
Batesville, Ark	. 23		20	26.1	18	Mercedes, Tex	21	20	29	22. 1 22. 0	24-2
Arkansas Basin						Brownsville, Tex	. 18	22	26	18.7	24-2
	1	ſ 16	16	11.8	16				<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>
imarron: Perkins, Okla	. 11	1 23	26	14.4	25	¹ Occasionally above flood stage duri	ng remai	nder of mo	nth due,	in part, to	operation
erdigris:		5 4	9	42.0	7	of Dams Nos. 22 and 24. 2 Continued into following month.					
Sageeyah, Okla		1 29	(2)	±2.0		Continued from preceding month.					
Okay, Okla		31	(2)			 Gage out; stages estimated. Actual crest slightly higher, but gag 	TO THOS \$7	a agosséhla á	lue to fo	od water	
ottonwood: Emporia, Kans	. 20	\\ \{\begin{array}{c} 14 \\ 20 \end{array}	16 25	23.35 25.0	15 22	- Actual crest sugnery nigher, but gap	e was in	accessible (146 10 110	ou water.	

Actual crest slightly higher, but gage was inaccessible due to flood water.